

A New Type of Dark Energy Model

Yi Zhang ^{a,b,1}, Hui Li ^{c,2},

^aDepartment of Astronomy, Beijing Normal university,
Beijing 100875, China

^bCollege Mathematics and Physics, Chongqing Universe of Posts and Telecommunications,
Chongqing 400065, China

^c Department of Physics, Yantai University, Yantai 264005, China

Abstract

In this paper, we propose a general form of the equation of state (EoS) which is the function of the fractional dark energy density Ω_d . At least, five related models, the cosmological constant model, the holographic dark energy model, the agegraphic dark energy model, the modified holographic dark energy model and the Ricci scalar holographic dark energy model are included in this form. Furthermore, if we consider proper interactions, the interactive variants of those models can be included as well. The phase-space analysis shows that the scaling solutions may exist both in the non-interacting and interacting cases. And the stability analysis of the system could give out the attractor solution which could alleviate the coincidence problem.

¹Email: zhangyia@cqupt.edu.cn

²Email: lihui@ytu.edu.cn

1 Introduction

Nowadays there is a wide consensus among observational cosmologists that our universe is accelerating [1, 2, 3, 4]. However, the essence of this acceleration is still an open question [5]. The cosmological constant is the simplest explanation, but it has the fine-tuning problem which requires the observed cosmological constant much smaller than the fundamental Planck scale, and the coincidence problem as well: why the cosmological constant and the matter have comparable energy density today even their evolution behavior is so different.

Dynamical dark energy (DE) models have been proposed as alternatives to the cosmological constant, such as quintessence and phantom [6, 7]. In principle, the dark energy problem may be one part of the puzzles of quantum gravity. However, we have not invented a complete theory of quantum gravity as yet. For all that, important progress in the study of the black hole theory and string theory is the holographic principle [8], which could be considered as a fundamental principle of quantum gravity and then shed some light on the DE problem. Its typical application in cosmology is holographic dark energy [9, 10], the agegraphic dark energy [11], modified holographic dark energy [12] and Ricci scalar dark energy [13] as well. They are dynamical models in which the dark energy equation of state (EoS) ω_d can be written as a function of the fractional dark energy density Ω_d .

However, we emphasize that such flexibility and generality are particularly important to our research on ω_d , not only because they increase the range of possibility to be tested, but also because in principle they may reduce the possibility of misleading results an incorrect EoS parameterization can produce. For detailed research on ω_d , we assume a kind of holographic model in which the dark energy equation of state (EoS) ω_d can be written as a function of the fractional dark energy density Ω_d . If we introduce a barotropic fluid to the system, the dynamics makes the system an autonomous system.

In the previous work, many studies focus on the stability property of cosmological scaling solutions in an expanding universe in the scalar field dark energy model. A phase-space analysis of the spatially flat FRW (Friedmann-Robertson-Walker) models shows that there exist cosmological scaling solutions which are the unique late-time attractors [14, 15, 16, 17]. With the assumption of ω_d being a function of Ω_d , it may be a self-similar system that we can do some research on its phase space from the point of stability analysis. In addition, the cosmological evolution of the dark energy interacting with background perfect fluid could be investigated at the same time. We consider the cases of dark energy interacting with background perfect fluid, while the interaction terms are taken to be three different forms

which are familiar in the literature [18, 19, 20]. And the physical consequence of these results should be given out as well. In this paper, we also do many observational constraint discussions on these interacting models [21, 22, 23].

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we propose our dark energy model and present the physical background that we refer to. In section 3, we extend to the interaction cases. In section 4, we give out the results of exact phase-analysis. In section 6, we try to analyze the four examples in detail. Finally, a short summary will be presented.

2 Physical background

The standard cosmology suggests that our universe goes through the radiation dominated period, the matter dominated period, and now the dark energy dominated period. For consistence, any theoretical models should coincide with this history of the universe.

In this work, we assume that the geometry of space-time is described by the flat FRW metric which seems to be consistent with today's cosmological observations

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t) \sum_{i=1}^3 (dx^i)^2, \quad (1)$$

where a is the scale factor. Based on the subject we are interested in, we assume that there are two main components in the universe: the background matter and the dark energy. The background matter is assumed to be described by a perfect fluid with barotropic equation of state

$$\rho'_m + 3\gamma\rho_m = 0, \quad (2)$$

where ρ_m is the energy density of the background matter, a prime denotes the derivative with respect to the e-folding time $N \equiv \ln a$, and the barotropic index γ is a constant and satisfies $0 < \gamma \leq 2$. In particular, $\gamma = 1$ and $\gamma = 4/3$ correspond to dust matter and radiation, respectively. And the dark energy component leads to

$$\rho'_d + 3(1 + \omega_d)\rho_d = 0. \quad (3)$$

where the ρ_d is the energy density of dark energy, and the dark energy EoS parameter is $\omega_d = p_d/\rho_d$.

With respect to the whole system, the Friedmann and Raychaudhuri equations read

$$H^2 = \frac{\rho_{tot}}{3m_{pl}^2} = \frac{1}{3m_{pl}^2}(\rho_m + \rho_d), \quad (4)$$

$$\dot{H} = -\frac{1}{2m_{pl}^2}(\rho_{tot} + p_{tot}) = -\frac{(1 + \omega_{tot})\rho_{tot}}{2m_{pl}^2}, \quad (5)$$

where m_{pl} is the Planck mass and the index “tot” notes the variables for the whole system.

It is convenient to introduce the fractional energy densities

$$\Omega_i \equiv \rho_i/(3m_{pl}^2 H^2), \quad (6)$$

with i being m or d . The Friedmann Equation can be rewritten as

$$\Omega_m + \Omega_d = 1. \quad (7)$$

Furthermore, the EoS parameter can be reexpressed as

$$\omega_{tot} = \frac{\frac{\Omega_m}{\Omega_d}\omega_m + \omega_d}{1 + \frac{\Omega_m}{\Omega_d}}. \quad (8)$$

As the universe evolves, combining Eqs. (2), (3), (5) and (6), we can get the equations of motion for Ω_i separately

$$\Omega'_m = 3f_n\Omega_m\Omega_d, \quad (9)$$

$$\Omega'_d = -3f_n\Omega_d\Omega_m, \quad (10)$$

with $f_n = \omega_d - (\gamma - 1)$, where the index n means there is no interaction between the background matter and the dark energy.

However, we do not really have the exact form of ω_d , so we could not know the form of f_n . There is a kind of holographic dark energy type model where ω_d is a function of Ω_d , which can make the dynamic system an autonomous system. This kind of model has been widely researched. It was firstly motivated from the effective quantum field theory. Cohen, et al. suggested that the quantum zero-point of a system with the size L should not exceed the mass of a black hole with the same size, i.e. $L^3\rho_d < Lm_{pl}^3$, where ρ_d is the quantum zero-point energy density which we could use as dark energy. Thus, the ultraviolet (UV) cutoff scale of a system is connected to its infrared (IR) cut-off scale. Applying this idea to

Table 1: The cutoff and the equation of state in cosmological constant model, holographic dark energy model, agegraphic dark energy model, modified holographic dark energy model and Ricci scalar dark energy model. c , n , α and β are all related parameters in these models.

Dark energy models	L	ω_d
Cosmological constant	Constant	$\omega_d = -1$
Holographic	Event horizon	$\omega_d = -\frac{1}{3}(1 + \frac{2\sqrt{\Omega_d}}{c})$
Agegraphic	Age of the universe	$\omega_d = -\frac{1}{3}(3 - \frac{2\sqrt{\Omega_d}}{n})$
Modified holographic	Blackhole mass	$\omega_d = \frac{\alpha-2}{2-\alpha\Omega_d}$
Ricci	Ricci scalar	$\omega_d = -\frac{2}{3\beta^2} + \frac{1}{3\Omega_d} - \frac{\Omega_m}{\Omega_d}(\gamma - 1)$

the whole universe, the vacuum energy can be considered as DE. Choosing the largest IR cutoff L which saturates the inequality, we obtain the holographic DE density

$$\rho_d = 3c^2 m_{pl}^2 L^{-2}, \quad (11)$$

where c is an unknown constant due to the theoretical uncertainties and can only be determined by observations.

We can see that, with $L = \text{constant}$, it recovers the cosmological constant model. Interestingly, taking L to be the size of the current universe which is the Hubble radius H^{-1} , it yields a wrong equation of the state for DE, but a correct DE density which is close to the observed values. Choosing different L 's, we can get different EoS which are listed in Tab.1. In particular, holographic dark energy chooses the event horizon as the cutoff, agegraphic dark energy chooses the age of the universe as the cutoff, modified holographic dark energy model relates the cutoff to the blackhole mass, and Ricci scalar holographic model chooses the value of Ricci scalar as cutoff. In each of the models, the dark energy state of equation ω_d is related to the dark energy fractional energy Ω_d , which could reduce a self-similar system. For a complete and exact examination, we could treat f_n as a general form of the Ω_d which is

$$f_n(\Omega_d) = \omega_d - w_m = \omega_d(\Omega_d) - (\gamma - 1), \quad (12)$$

where the form of f_n or ω_d is determined by the unknown underlying theory. Assuming f_n exists, the system may be identified to be an autonomous system. Then we can discuss the properties based on the existence and the stability of its critical points.

3 Interacting Effects

Interacting models have been discussed largely in order to understand or alleviate the coincidence problem . The basic idea is to consider the possible interaction between dark energy and dark matter owing to the unknown nature of dark energy and dark matter. In this section, by including the interaction between the dark energy and the background fluid, we will consider the change of the form f_n . Although the interaction can significantly change the cosmological evolution, with proper interactions, the system is still an autonomous system.

Assuming the dark energy and the background matter exchange energy through interaction term Q , the continuity equations become

$$\rho'_d + 3(1 + \omega_d)\rho_d = -Q, \quad (13)$$

$$\rho'_m + 3\gamma\rho_m = Q, \quad (14)$$

which still preserve the total energy conservation equation $\dot{\rho}_{tot} + 3H(\rho_{tot} + p_{tot}) = 0$. When $Q = 0$, there is no interaction between matter and dark energy. When $Q > 0$, the dark energy will lose energy to the the background matter. When $Q < 0$, the dark energy will get energy from the background matter. The interaction term Q can be assumed to be some special forms. For convenience, we consider the following specific interaction forms:

$$\text{Case (I)} \quad Q_1 = 3\gamma_m\rho_m, \quad (15)$$

$$\text{Case (II)} \quad Q_2 = 3\gamma_d\rho_d, \quad (16)$$

$$\text{Case (III)} \quad Q_3 = 3\gamma_{tot}\rho_{tot}. \quad (17)$$

We use the indices 1, 2, 3 notify the different interacting cases. We can write the effective EoS parameters for both dark energy and background matter

$$\omega_{de1} = \omega_{d1}(\Omega_d) + \gamma_m \frac{1 - \Omega_d}{\Omega_d}, \quad \omega_{me1} = \gamma - 1 - \gamma_m \quad (18)$$

$$\omega_{de2} = \omega_{d1}(\Omega_d) + \gamma_d, \quad \omega_{me2} = \gamma - 1 - \gamma_d \frac{\Omega_d}{1 - \Omega_d} \quad (19)$$

$$\omega_{de3} = \omega_{d1}(\Omega_d) + \gamma_{tot} \frac{1}{\Omega_d}, \quad \omega_{me3} = \gamma - 1 - \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{1 - \Omega_d} \quad (20)$$

where the index e means the EoS parameter of dark energy or matter is “ effective”. Furthermore, we find

$$f_j = \omega_{dej} - \omega_{mej} \quad (21)$$

Table 2: Four main critical points.

Label	Physical meaning	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	f_j	(λ_1, λ_2)	ω_{tot}
M	Matter dominated	$(1, 0)$	f_j	$(0, -3f_j)$	$\omega_{tot} = \omega_m$
F_M	Matter dominated	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	$f_j = 0$,	$(0, -3f'_{dj}\Omega_d\Omega_m)$	$\omega_{tot} = \frac{\Omega_m\omega_m/\Omega_d+\omega_d}{1+\Omega_m/\Omega_d}$
D	DE dominated	$(0, 1)$	f_j	$(0, 3f_j)$	$\omega_{tot} = \omega_d$
F_D	DE dominated	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	$f_j = 0$,	$(0, -3f'_{dj}\Omega_d\Omega_m)$	$\omega_{tot} = \frac{\Omega_m\omega_m/\Omega_d+\omega_d}{1+\Omega_m/\Omega_d}$

where $j = 1, 2, 3$, and

$$f_1 = f_n + \frac{\gamma_m}{\Omega_d} = \omega_d(\Omega_d) - (\gamma - 1) + \frac{\gamma_m}{\Omega_d}, \quad (22)$$

$$f_2 = f_n + \frac{\gamma_d}{1 - \Omega_d} = \omega_d(\Omega_d) - (\gamma - 1) + \frac{\gamma_d}{1 - \Omega_d}, \quad (23)$$

$$f_3 = f_n + \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\Omega_d(1 - \Omega_d)} = \omega_d(\Omega_d) - (\gamma - 1) + \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\Omega_d(1 - \Omega_d)}, \quad (24)$$

In conclusion, the fractional energies evolve as

$$\Omega'_m = 3f_j\Omega_m\Omega_d, \quad (25)$$

$$\Omega'_d = -3f_j\Omega_d\Omega_m. \quad (26)$$

When j takes n , it is the non-interacting case, and when j is 1, 2, 3, it is the different interacting cases presented in Eqs.(15), (16) and (17). This is an autonomous system with Eq.(7) as its constraint.

4 The Critical Points for the General Form

In the following, we firstly obtain the critical points of the autonomous system by imposing the conditions $\Omega'_m = \Omega'_d = 0$. Obviously, the critical points should satisfy the Friedmann constraint $\Omega_m + \Omega_d = 1$. Then, we will discuss the existence and stability of these critical points. An attractor is one of the stable critical points of the autonomous system.

Based on the history of the universe and Eqs.(25), (26), we can get that the critical points in Tab.2. Critical point M is the matter dominated phase with $\Omega_m = 1$. And Critical point D is the dark energy dominated phase with $\Omega_d = 1$. If $f_j \propto \frac{1}{\Omega_m}$ or $f_j \propto \frac{1}{\Omega_d}$, these two fixed point may not exist. Besides the above two fixed points, there are other solutions with $f_j = 0$. As the universe goes through the matter dominated phase and the

dark energy dominated phase, we consider the critical points with $\omega_{tot} = 0$ or $\omega_{tot} = -1$, which is matter dominated phase F_M or dark energy dominated phase F_D .

If we substitute linear perturbations about the critical point (Ω_m, Ω_d) into the dynamical system Eqs. (25) and (26) and linearize them, we can get that

$$\delta\Omega'_m = 3f(\Omega_d)\Omega_d\delta\Omega_m + 3\left(f(\Omega_d)\Omega_m + \frac{df(\Omega_d)}{d\Omega_d}\Omega_m\Omega_d\right)\delta\Omega_d, \quad (27)$$

$$\delta\Omega'_d = -3f(\Omega_d)\Omega_d\delta\Omega_m - 3\left(f(\Omega_d)\Omega_m + \frac{df(\Omega_d)}{d\Omega_d}\Omega_m\Omega_d\right)\delta\Omega_d. \quad (28)$$

The two eigenvalues of the coefficient matrix of the above equations determine the stability of the corresponding critical point, which yield two eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 = 0, \quad (29)$$

$$\lambda_2 = 3f(2\Omega_d - 1) - 3f'_d\Omega_d(1 - \Omega_d). \quad (30)$$

Here $f'_d = df/d\Omega_d$. When λ_2 is positive, the corresponding critical point is an unstable node. “Unstable” means that the phase will not stay in the phase for long, and eventually it will evolve to other phases. When λ_2 is negative, the corresponding critical point is a stable node and the phase will last long. We list these critical points in Tab.2 as well.

With regard to the history of the universe, we expect that the matter dominated phase should be unstable; otherwise, the universe will not enter the dark energy dominated phase. The stability of the matter dominated phase will determine whether the model coincides with the history of the universe or not. If the matter dominated phase (M or F_M) is stable, we will see that there is no way for the universe to get into a dark energy dominated phase. The stability of the dark energy dominated phase (D or F_D) will determine the fate of the universe. If it is stable, the dark energy phase is an attractor that the universe will be dark energy dominated in the future. With a preceding unstable matter-dominated phase, the universe will enter the phase at last. If the critical points are unstable, then, even through the EoS parameter might be smaller than -1 , the universe would escape the big rip singularity.

5 The Interacting Terms

As we discussed, the four critical points in Tab.2 may not all exist, especially in the interaction cases.

With interacting term one, we will not have the critical point M . With the interacting term two, we will not have the critical point D . With the interacting term three, we will not have both the critical points M and D . When $f_j = 0$, from Eqs.(8) and (21), we can get that

$$\omega_{tot} = \omega_{de} = \omega_{me}. \quad (31)$$

There are two scaling solutions. That the solutions may appear in the non-interacting and interacting cases or not depends on the exact form of ω_d .

At the critical points F_M , we assume $\omega_{tot} = 0$ for a matter dominated phase, then we can get that

$$\omega_{tot} = \gamma - 1 - \gamma_m = 0, \quad (32)$$

$$\omega_{tot} = \gamma - 1 - \gamma_d \frac{\Omega_d}{1 - \Omega_d} = 0, \quad (33)$$

$$\omega_{tot} = \gamma - 1 - \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{1 - \Omega_d} = 0. \quad (34)$$

In the interacting term one case, $\gamma - 1 = \gamma_m$. In the interacting term two case, $\Omega_d = (\gamma - 1)/(\gamma_d + \gamma - 1)$ and in the interacting term three case, $\Omega_d = 1 - \gamma_{tot}/(\gamma - 1)$.

And, at the critical points F_D , as $\omega_{tot} = -1$, we can get

$$\omega_{tot} = \gamma - 1 - \gamma_m = -1, \quad (35)$$

$$\omega_{tot} = \gamma - 1 - \gamma_d \frac{\Omega_d}{1 - \Omega_d} = -1, \quad (36)$$

$$\omega_{tot} = \gamma - 1 - \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{1 - \Omega_d} = -1. \quad (37)$$

In the interacting term one case, $\gamma = \gamma_m$. In the interacting term two case, $\Omega_d = \gamma/(\gamma_d + \gamma)$ and in the interacting term three case, $\Omega_d = 1 - \gamma_{tot}/\gamma$. Because $0 \leq \Omega_d \leq 1$, the interaction parameter should satisfy $\gamma_d > 0$ and $\gamma_{tot} > 0$.

If one of the critical points F_M or F_D exists, it can be treated as a scaling solution which is helpful to solve the coincidence problem. And the above discussions show that the phase-analysis method is effective to describe the dark energy models even with interactions included. In the following, we will give exact examples.

Table 3: The properties of the critical points in the cosmological constant model.

	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	(λ_1, λ_2)	existence	stability	ω_{tot}
M	(1,0)	$(0, 3\gamma)$	always	unstable	$\gamma - 1$
D	(0,1)	$(0, -3\gamma)$	always	stable	-1

6 The Examples

In this section, we list five examples for three purposes, to choose models which coincide with the history of the universe, to consider the fate of the universe, and the last is to consider the effects of the interactions. The five dark energy examples are in Tab.1: the cosmological constant model, the holographic dark energy model, the agegraphic dark energy model, the modified holographic dark energy model and the Ricci scalar holographic dark energy model.

6.1 Cosmological Constant Model

First, we investigate the cosmological constant case with $L = constant$. In the non-interacting case, we can see that

$$\omega_d^c = -1, \quad \omega_m = \gamma - 1, \quad (38)$$

$$f_n^c = -\gamma, \quad (39)$$

where the superscript c means the cosmological constant model. If we put the above equations into the evolution of the energy density we can get that

$$\Omega'_m = -3\gamma\Omega_m\Omega_d, \quad (40)$$

$$\Omega'_d = 3\gamma\Omega_d\Omega_m. \quad (41)$$

In Tab.3, we list the main results related to the critical points. The non-interacting cosmological constant model gives out a unstable matter dominated phase, and a dark energy attractor as well.

In the interacting term cases, we replace the EoS parameter ω_m and ω_d with the effective EoS parameter ω_{me} and ω_{de} . In the interaction one case,

$$f_1^c = \omega_{de1} - \omega_{me1} = -\gamma + \frac{\gamma_m}{\Omega_d}. \quad (42)$$

Table 4: The properties of the critical points in the cosmological constant model with interacting term one.

	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	(λ_1, λ_2)	existence	stability	ω_{tot}
F_M	$(1 - \frac{\gamma_m}{\gamma}, \frac{\gamma_m}{\gamma})$	$(0, 3\frac{\gamma_m \Omega_m}{\Omega_d})$	$0 \leq \gamma_m \leq \gamma$	unstable	0
D	(0,1)	$(0, -3(\gamma - \gamma_m))$	always	$\gamma_m < \gamma$, stable	-1

Table 5: The properties of the critical points in the cosmological constant model with interacting term two.

	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	(λ_1, λ_2)	existence	stability	ω_{tot}
M	(1,0)	$(0, 3\gamma)$	always	unstable	$\gamma - 1$
F_D	$(\frac{\gamma_d}{\gamma}, 1 - \frac{\gamma_d}{\gamma})$	$(0, -3\frac{\gamma_d \Omega_d}{\Omega_m})$	$0 \leq \gamma_d \leq \gamma$	stable	-1

$$f_{d1}' = -\frac{\gamma_m}{\Omega_d^2}. \quad (43)$$

In the interacting term two,

$$f_2^c = -\gamma + \frac{\gamma_d}{(1 - \Omega_d)}, \quad (44)$$

$$f_{d2}' = \frac{\gamma_d}{(1 - \Omega_d)^2}. \quad (45)$$

In the interacting term three,

$$f_3^c = -\gamma + \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\Omega_d(1 - \Omega_d)}, \quad (46)$$

$$f_{d3}' = \gamma_{tot}(-\frac{1}{\Omega_d^2} + \frac{1}{(1 - \Omega_d)^2}). \quad (47)$$

As are listed in Tabs.4, 5 and 6, we can always get the unstable matter dominated phase and the stable dark energy dominated phase. We can see that the critical points require $\gamma_m > 0$, $\gamma_d > 0$ and $\gamma_{tot} > 0$. The interactions bring further constraint to the parameter γ .

6.2 Holographic Dark Energy

The successive holographic dark energy model uses the future event horizon of the universe as the IR cutoff, which leads to

$$L = a \int_a^\infty \frac{dt'}{a(t')} \quad (48)$$

Table 6: The properties of the critical points in the cosmological constant model with interacting term three. For conciseness, we define a new variable $k = \sqrt{-\frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{4}}$.

	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	(λ_1, λ_2)	existence	stability	ω_{tot}
F_M	$(\frac{1}{2} + k), \frac{1}{2} - k)$	$(0, -3f_{d3}^{h'}\Omega_m\Omega_d)$	$0 \leq \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma} \leq \frac{1}{4}$	$f_{d3}^{h'} < 0$, unstable	0
F_D	$(\frac{1}{2} - k), \frac{1}{2} + k)$	$(0, -3f_{d3}^{h'}\Omega_m\Omega_d)$	$0 \leq \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma} \leq \frac{1}{4}$	$f_{d3}^{h'} > 0$, stable	-1

Table 7: The properties of the critical points in holographic dark energy model.

Label	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	(λ_1, λ_2)	existence	stability	ω_{tot}
M	(1,0)	$(0, \gamma - \frac{2}{3})$	always	$\gamma > \frac{2}{3}$, unstable	$\gamma - 1$
D	(0,1)	$(0, 2(1 - \frac{1}{c}) - 3\gamma)$	always	$c > \frac{2}{2-3\gamma}$, unstable	$-\frac{1}{3}(1 + \frac{1}{c})$

$$\rho_d^h = 3c^2 m_{pl}^2 / L^2, \quad (49)$$

where the superscript h means the holographic dark energy model.

In the non-interacting term,

$$\omega_d^h = \frac{-1}{3}(1 + \frac{2}{c}\sqrt{\Omega_d}), \quad \omega_m = \gamma - 1, \quad (50)$$

$$f_n^h = \omega_d - \omega_m = \frac{-1}{3}(1 + \frac{2}{c}\sqrt{\Omega_d}) - (\gamma - 1). \quad (51)$$

If we put the above equations into the evolution of the energy density, we can list the main results related to the critical points in Tab.7. In the non-interaction case, if $\gamma > 2/3$, the matter-dominated phase is unstable, in the meanwhile the dark energy dominated phase is also unstable. For example, assuming $\gamma = 1$, $c > -1$ will make the unstable case, which means we can get the big-rip fate in holographic dark energy and the realization of the dark energy dominated phase hardly depends on the initial conditions.

With the interacting term one,

$$f_1^h = \omega_{de1} - \omega_{me1} = \frac{-1}{3}(1 + \frac{2}{c}\sqrt{\Omega_d}) - (\gamma - 1) + \frac{\gamma_m}{\Omega_d}, \quad (52)$$

$$f_{d1}^{h'} = \frac{-1}{3c\sqrt{\Omega_d}} - \frac{\gamma_m}{\Omega_d^2}. \quad (53)$$

We can get the critical point D , and the existence of γ_m extends the parameter regime of c . There is no M point because of the interaction. However, there is a new matter dominated

Table 8: The properties of the critical points in holographic dark energy model with interacting term one. For conciseness, $\Omega_d = \frac{\gamma_m}{-2/3+\gamma}$ for the F_M phase is presented in the caption.

Label	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	(λ_1, λ_2)	existence	stability	ω_{tot}
F_M	$(1 - \Omega_d, \Omega_d)$	$0, 3\Omega_m(\frac{\sqrt{\Omega_d}}{c} + \frac{\gamma_m}{\Omega_d})$	$\frac{\gamma - \frac{2}{3}}{\gamma - 1} \ll \frac{c^2}{2}$	$\gamma_m > 0, \text{unstable}$	0
D	(0,1)	$(0, 2(1 - \frac{1}{c}) - 3\gamma + 3\gamma_m)$	always	$c > \frac{2}{2-3\gamma+3\gamma_m}, \text{unstable}$	$\frac{-1-2c}{3c}$

Table 9: The properties of the critical points in holographic dark energy model with interacting term two.

Label	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	(λ_1, λ_2)	existence	stability	ω_{tot}
M	(1,0)	$(0, -3(\frac{2}{3} - \gamma - \gamma_d))$	always	$\gamma > \frac{2}{3} + \gamma_d, \text{unstable}$	$\gamma_m + \gamma - 1$
F_D	$(\frac{\gamma_d}{\gamma_d+\gamma}, \frac{\gamma}{\gamma_d+\gamma})$	$(0, -3(\frac{\gamma_d\Omega_d}{\Omega_m} - \frac{\Omega_m\sqrt{\Omega_d}}{3c}))$	$\gamma_d > 0$	$\frac{\gamma_d^2}{9\gamma(\gamma_d+\gamma)^3} < c^2, \text{stable}$	-1

phase F_M . To get such a phase, we require $\omega_{tot} = 0$ and $f_1^h = 0$, so we can find when the total universe behaves like matter-dominated. As the matter dominated, we need Ω_d to be much smaller than Ω_m . Specifically speaking, we can assume $\Omega_d \ll c^2/2$, $\Omega \approx \frac{\gamma_m}{-2/3+\gamma}$. $\gamma_m > 0$ could lead to the unstable matter dominated phase. And we set $\gamma_m = \gamma - 1$, which means $\omega_{tot} = 0$.

In the interacting term two case,

$$f_2^h = \frac{-1}{3}(1 + \frac{2}{c}\sqrt{\Omega_d}) - (\gamma - 1) + \frac{\gamma_d}{(1 - \Omega_d)}, \quad (54)$$

$$f_{d2}^{h'} = \frac{-1}{3c\sqrt{\Omega_d}} + \frac{\gamma_d}{(1 - \Omega_d)^2}. \quad (55)$$

The critical point M shows that if $\gamma > 2/3 + \gamma_d$, the matter dominated phase is unstable. And the F_D critical point shows that we can get a dark energy attractor in holographic model when the first term in the Eq.(55) is much smaller than the second term.

With the interacting term three,

$$f_3^h = \frac{-1}{3}(1 + \frac{2}{c}\sqrt{\Omega_d}) - (\gamma - 1) + \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\Omega_d(1 - \Omega_d)}, \quad (56)$$

$$f_{d3}^{h'} = -\frac{1}{3c\sqrt{\Omega_d}} - \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\Omega_d^2} + \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{(1 - \Omega_d)^2}. \quad (57)$$

Table 10: The properties of the critical points in holographic dark energy model with interacting term three.

Label	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	(λ_1, λ_2)	existence	stability	ω_{tot}
F_M	$(\frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma-1}, 1 - \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma-1})$	$(0, -3f_{d3}^{h'}\Omega_m\Omega_d)$	$0 \leq \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma-1} \leq 1$	$f_{d3}^{h'} < 0$, unstable	0
F_D	$(\frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma}, 1 - \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma})$	$(0, -3f_{d3}^{h'}\Omega_m\Omega_d)$	$0 \leq \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma} \leq 1$	$f_{d3}^{h'} > 0$, stable	-1

Firstly, as is noted in Tab.10, the existence of a critical point F_D needs $\gamma_{tot} > 0$. When Ω_d is large, the absolute value of the third term in Eq.(57) will be larger than the first two terms, $f_{d3}^{h'} > 0$, and we get the stable dark energy dominated phase. Instead, if Ω_d is small, $f_{d3}^{h'} < 0$, we will get the unstable matter dominated phase.

We can see that in holographic dark energy model, as we predicted, we can get the matter dominated and the dark energy dominated phase. The interacting term could play an important role to make the dark energy dominated phase stable.

6.3 Agegraphic Dark Energy

Agegraphic dark energy model, whose cutoff is the age the universe by the age of the universe, is proved to be consistent with the evolution of universe,

$$L = T = \int_0^a \frac{da}{Ha}, \quad (58)$$

$$\rho_d^a = \frac{3n^2 m_{pl}^2}{T^2}, \quad (59)$$

where the superscript a means the agegraphic dark energy model.

In the non-interaction case,

$$\omega_d^a = -1 + \frac{2\sqrt{\Omega_d}}{3n}, \quad (60)$$

$$f_n^a = \frac{2}{3n}\sqrt{\Omega_d} - \gamma, \quad (61)$$

$$f_{dn}^{a'} = \frac{1}{3n\sqrt{\Omega_d}}. \quad (62)$$

Putting these forms in the Eq.(25) and (26), we could get the stability of the critical points which is listed in Tab.11.

Table 11: The properties of the critical points in agegraphic dark energy model.

Label	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	(λ_1, λ_2)	existence	stability	ω_{tot}
M	(1,0)	$(0, 3\gamma)$	always	unstable	$\gamma - 1$
F_M	$(1 - \frac{9n^2\gamma^2}{4}, \frac{9n^2\gamma^2}{4})$	$(0, -\frac{3\gamma}{2}(1 - \frac{9n^2\gamma^2}{4}))$	$n < \frac{2}{3\gamma}$	stable	$\gamma - 1$
D	(0,1)	$(0, \frac{2}{n} - 3\gamma)$	always	$n > \frac{2}{3\gamma}$, stable	$-1 + \frac{2}{3n}$

Table 12: The properties of the critical points in agegraphic dark energy model with interacting case one.

Label	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	(λ_1, λ_2)	existence	stability	ω_{tot}
F_M	$(1 - \frac{\gamma_m}{\gamma}, \frac{\gamma_m}{\gamma})$	$(0, \Omega_m(3\gamma - \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_m}{n^2\gamma}}))$	$\gamma_m = \gamma - 1$	$\gamma_m < 9\gamma^3 n^2$, unstable	0
D	(0,1)	$(0, \frac{2}{n} - 3\gamma + 3\gamma_m)$	always	$n > \frac{2}{3\gamma - 3\gamma_m}$, stable	$-1 + \frac{2}{3n}$

In Tab.11, we can see that, there is an interesting critical point F_M which is an attractor, so it is impossible to have the dark energy dominated phase. There are three points. With n larger than $2/3\gamma$, it could get the dark energy dominated phase; otherwise, there will always be matter dominated. And to make the universe accelerate, in the dark energy dominated phase $\omega_{tot} = -1 + 2/3n < -1/3$, it requires $n > 1$.

With the interacting case one,

$$f_1^a = \frac{2}{3n}\sqrt{\Omega_d} - \gamma + \frac{\gamma_m}{\Omega_d}, \quad (63)$$

$$f_{d1}^{a'} = \frac{\Omega_d^{3/2} - 3n\gamma_m}{3n\Omega_d^2}, \quad (64)$$

we can get the critical point D , and the existence of γ_m extends the parameter regime of n . There is no M point because of the interaction. However, there is another matter dominated phase F_M . To get such a phase, we require $\omega_{tot} = 0$ and $f_1^a = 0$. As matter dominated, we could assume Ω_d much smaller than Ω_m . Then we can get the unstable matter dominated phase and the dark energy dominated phase.

With the interacting term two,

$$f_2^a = -1 + \frac{2}{3n}\sqrt{\Omega_d} - (\gamma - 1) + \frac{\gamma_d}{(1 - \Omega_d)} = 0, \quad (65)$$

$$f_{d2}^{a'} = \frac{(1 - \Omega_d)^2 + 3n\gamma_d\sqrt{\Omega_d}}{3n\sqrt{\Omega_d}(1 - \Omega_d)^2}. \quad (66)$$

Table 13: The properties of the critical points in agegraphic dark energy model in interaction two.

Label	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	(λ_1, λ_2)	existence	stability	ω_{tot}
M	(1,0)	$(0, -\gamma_d + \gamma)$	always	$\gamma_d < \gamma$, unstable	$\gamma - 1$
F_D	$(\frac{\gamma_d}{\gamma+\gamma_d}, \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+\gamma_d})$	$(0, -3(\frac{\sqrt{\Omega_d\Omega_m}}{3n} + \frac{\gamma_d\Omega_d}{\Omega_m}))$	$\gamma_d > 0$	stable	-1

Table 14: The properties of the critical points in agegraphic dark energy model in interaction three.

Label	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	(λ_1, λ_2)	existence	stability	ω_{tot}
F_M	$(\frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma-1}, 1 - \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma-1})$	$(0, -3f_{d3}^{a'}\Omega_m\Omega_d)$	$0 \leq \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma-1} \leq 1$	$f_{d3}^{a'} < 0$, unstable	0
F_D	$(\frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma}, 1 - \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma})$	$(0, -3f_{d3}^{a'}\Omega_m\Omega_d)$	$0 \leq \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma} \leq 1$	$f_{d3}^{a'} > 0$, stable	-1

In Tab.12, it only needs that $0 < \gamma_d < \gamma$, and we can get the unstable matter dominated phase and the stable dark energy dominated phase.

With the interacting term three,

$$f_3^a = \frac{2}{3n}\sqrt{\Omega_d} - \gamma + \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\Omega_d(1 - \Omega_d)}, \quad (67)$$

$$f_{d3}^{a'} = \frac{1}{3n\sqrt{\Omega_d}} + \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\Omega_d^2\Omega_m} - \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\Omega_d\Omega_m^2}. \quad (68)$$

The existence of the critical point F_D needs $\gamma_{tot} > 0$. When Ω_{tot} is large, the absolute value of the third term in Eq.(68) will be larger than the first two terms, $f_{d3}^{a'} > 0$, then we get the stable dark energy dominated phase. Instead, if Ω_d is small, $f_{d3}^{a'} < 0$, then we will get the unstable matter dominated phase.

In conclusion, the interaction is very helpful to extend the parameter phase and then alleviate the coincidence problem.

6.4 Modified Holographic Dark Energy

Furthermore, to realize a workable dark energy model, Gong use the Hubble scale as the IR cut-off L , and the UV and IR connection is modified by using the black hole mass M in higher dimensions,

$$L^3\rho_d^m \sim M = \frac{(N-1)\Omega_{N-1}}{16\pi G_N}L^{N-2}, \quad (69)$$

Table 15: The properties of the critical points in Modified Holographic dark energy model.

Label	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	(λ_1, λ_2)	existence	stability	ω_{tot}
M	(1,0)	$(0, 3 - \frac{3}{2}\alpha\gamma)$	always	$\alpha < \frac{2}{\gamma}$, unstable	$\gamma - 1$
D	(0,1)	$(0, -3)$	always	stable	-1

Table 16: The properties of the critical points in Modified Holographic dark energy model in interacting case one. For conciseness, $\Omega_d = \frac{2\gamma_m}{2\gamma - \alpha\gamma + \alpha\gamma_m}$ for the F_M phase is presented in the caption.

Label	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	(λ_1, λ_2)	existence	stability	ω_{tot}
F_M	$(1 - \Omega_d, \Omega_d)$	$(0, -3f_{d1}^{m'}\Omega_d\Omega_m)$	$0 \leq \Omega_d \leq 1$	$f_{d1}^{m'} < 0$, unstable	$-\gamma_m + \gamma - 1$
D	(0,1)	$(0, 3(\gamma_m - \gamma))$	always	$\gamma_m < \gamma$, stable	-1

$$\rho_d^m = \frac{d(N-1)\Omega_{N-1}}{16\pi G_N} L^{N-5}, \quad (70)$$

where the superscript m means the modified holographic dark energy model, N is the number of spatial dimensions, d is the unknown constant related to the theoretical uncertainties, G_N is the Newton constant and Ω_{N-1} is the volume of the black hole.

In the non-interaction case,

$$\omega_d^m = -1 + \frac{\alpha\gamma(1 - \Omega_d)}{2 - \alpha\Omega_d}, \omega_m = \gamma - 1, \quad (71)$$

$$f_n^m = \frac{\alpha\gamma(1 - \Omega_d)}{2 - \alpha\Omega_d} - \gamma, \quad (72)$$

where $\alpha = 5 - N < 2$.

In the non-interaction case, the critical point M which presents the matter dominated phase would be unstable only when $\alpha < \frac{2}{\gamma}$. If $\gamma = 1$, it means that, only for the spatial dimension $N > 3$, the universe could escape from the matter dominated phase. When the spatial dimension is $N = 3$, there exists a matter-dominated phase F_M again. We should calculate to the second order perturbation to justify its stability. Nevertheless, the dark energy dominated phase D is an attractor.

With the interaction term one,

$$f_1^m = \frac{\alpha\gamma(1 - \Omega_d)}{2 - \alpha\Omega_d} - \gamma + \frac{\gamma_m}{\Omega_d} = \frac{(\alpha - 2)\gamma}{2 - \alpha\Omega_d} + \frac{\gamma_m}{\Omega_d}, \quad (73)$$

Table 17: The properties of the critical points in Modified Holographic dark energy model in interacting case two.

Label	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	(λ_1, λ_2)	existence	stability	ω_{tot}
M	(1,0)	$(0, -3(\frac{\alpha\gamma}{2} + \gamma_d - \gamma))$	always	$\gamma_d < \frac{(2-\alpha)\gamma}{2}$, unstable	$\gamma - 1$
F_D	$(\frac{\gamma_d}{\gamma_d + \gamma}, \frac{\gamma}{\gamma_d + \gamma})$	$(0, -3f_{d2}^{m'}\Omega_d\Omega_m)$	$\gamma_d > 0$	$f_{d2}^{m'} > 0$, stable	-1

Table 18: The properties of the critical points in modified holographic dark energy model in interacting case three.

	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	(λ_1, λ_2)	existence	stability	ω_{tot}
F_M	$(\frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma-1}, 1 - \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma-1})$	$(0, -3f_{d3}^{m'}\Omega_m\Omega_d)$	$0 \leq \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma-1} \leq 1$	$f_{d3}^{m'} < 0$, unstable	0
F_D	$(\frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma}, 1 - \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma})$	$(0, -3f_{d3}^{m'}\Omega_m\Omega_d)$	$0 \leq \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma} \leq 1$	$f_{d3}^{m'} > 0$, stable	-1

$$f_{d1}^{m'} = -\frac{\gamma_m}{\Omega_d^2} - \frac{\alpha\gamma(2-\alpha)}{(2-\alpha\Omega_d)^2}. \quad (74)$$

By adding the interacting one, we will still get two phases. The matter dominated phase F_M is unstable when $f_{d1}^{m'} < 0$. We need that $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ and $\gamma_m > 0$. Furthermore, with $\gamma_m < \gamma$, the dark energy dominated phase is an attractor.

With the interaction term two,

$$f_2^m = \frac{(\alpha-2)\gamma}{2-\alpha\Omega_d} + \frac{\gamma_d}{1-\Omega_d}, \quad (75)$$

$$f_{d2}^{m'} = \frac{\gamma_d}{(1-\Omega_d)^2} + \frac{\alpha\gamma(\alpha-2)}{(2-\alpha\Omega_d)^2}. \quad (76)$$

From Tab.17, the instability of the matter dominated phase and the existence of the dark energy dominated phase F_D require that $0 < \gamma_d < (2-\alpha)\gamma/2$, and it means $\alpha < 2$. Then for a stable dark energy phase, we need that the first term in Eq.(76) is larger than the second term.

With the interacting case three,

$$f_3^m = \frac{(\alpha-2)\gamma}{2-\alpha\Omega_d} + \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\Omega_d(1-\Omega_d)}, \quad (77)$$

$$f_{d3}^{m'} = \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\Omega_d^2\Omega_m} + \frac{\alpha\gamma(\alpha-2)}{(2-\alpha\Omega_d)^2} - \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\Omega_d\Omega_m^2} \quad (78)$$

The existence of the critical point F_D needs $\gamma_d > 0$. If $\alpha < 2$, when Ω_d is large, the absolute value of the third term in Eq.(78) will be larger than the first two terms, and

Table 19: The properties of the critical points in non-interaction Ricci dark energy case. For conciseness, $\Omega_d = \frac{\beta^2(4-3\gamma)}{2}$ for the F_M phase is presented in the caption.

Label	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	(λ_1, λ_2)	existence	stability	ω_{tot}
F_M	$(1 - \Omega_d, \Omega_d)$	$(0, -3f_n^{r'}\Omega_d\Omega_m)$	$0 \leq \frac{\beta^2(4-3\gamma)}{2} \leq 1$	$\gamma < 4/3$, unstable	$\gamma - 1$
D	$(0, 1)$	$(0, -\frac{2}{3\beta^2} + \frac{4}{3} - \gamma)$	always	$\frac{1}{\beta^2} > 2 - \frac{3}{2}\gamma$, stable	$\frac{\beta^2-2}{3\beta^2}$

$f_{d3}^{m'} > 0$, we get the stable dark energy dominated phase. Instead, if Ω_d is small, $f_{d3}^{m'} < 0$, we will get the unstable matter dominated phase. If $\alpha > 2$, when Ω_d is large, the absolute value of the last two terms in Eq.(78) will be larger than the first term, $f_{d3}^{m'} > 0$, and we get the stable dark energy dominated phase. Instead, if Ω_d is small, $f_{d3}^{m'} < 0$, we will get the unstable matter dominated phase.

In conclusion, the interaction one and three cases alleviate the constraint on the spatial dimensions.

6.5 Ricci Dark Energy

Recently, the average radius of the Ricci scalar curvature has been chosen as the IR cutoff in Ricci DE model:

$$L = R = 6(\dot{H} + H^2)\rho_d^r = 3\beta^2 m_{pl}^2(\dot{H} + H^2), \quad (79)$$

where the superscript m means the modified holographic dark energy model and β is the model parameter. We can get

$$\omega_d^r = -\frac{2}{3\beta^2} + \frac{1}{3\Omega_d} - \frac{\Omega_m}{\Omega_d}(\gamma - 1), \quad \omega_m = \gamma - 1, \quad (80)$$

$$f_n^r = -\frac{2}{3\beta^2} + \frac{1}{3\Omega_d} - \frac{1}{\Omega_d}(\gamma - 1). \quad (81)$$

$$f_{dn}^{r'} = (\gamma - \frac{4}{3})\frac{1}{\Omega_d^2}. \quad (82)$$

In the non-interaction case, for the form of the ω_d^r , we could not get the M critical point, but an F_M critical point instead. From Tab.19, we can see the two critical points which could lead us to the stable dark energy dominated phase and the unstable matter dominated phase.

Table 20: The properties of the critical points in Ricci dark energy model with interacting case one. For conciseness, $\Omega_d = \frac{\beta^2(4-3\gamma+3\gamma_m)}{2}$ in F_M phase is presented in the caption.

Label	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	(λ_1, λ_2)	existence	stability	ω_{tot}
F_M	$1 - \Omega_d, \Omega_d$	$(0, -3f_{d1}^{r'}\Omega_d\Omega_m)$	$0 \leq \Omega_d \leq 1$	$\gamma_m > \gamma - \frac{4}{3}$, unstable	$\gamma - 1$
D	$(0, 1)$	$(0, \frac{-2+\beta^2(4-3\gamma+3\gamma_m)}{3\beta^2})$	always	$\frac{1}{\beta^2} > \frac{4-3(\gamma-\gamma_m)}{2}$, stable	$\frac{\beta^2-2}{3\beta^2}$

Table 21: The properties of the critical points in Ricci dark energy model in interacting case two.

Label	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	(λ_1, λ_2)	existence	stability	ω_{tot}
F_M	$(\frac{\gamma_d}{\gamma_d+\gamma-1}, \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma_d+\gamma-1})$	$(0, -3f_{d2}^{r'}\Omega_d\Omega_m)$	$0 \leq \frac{\gamma_d}{\gamma_d+\gamma-1} \leq 1$	$f_{d2}^{r'} < 0$, unstable	0
F_D	$(\frac{\gamma_d}{\gamma_d+\gamma}, \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma_d+\gamma})$	$(0, -3f_{d2}^{r'}\Omega_d\Omega_m)$	$\gamma_d > 0$	$f_{d2}^{r'} > 0$, stable	-1

In the interacting case one,

$$f_1^r = -\frac{2}{3\beta^2} + \frac{1}{3\Omega_d} - \frac{1}{\Omega_d}(\gamma - 1) + \frac{\gamma_m}{\Omega_d}, \quad (83)$$

$$f_{d1}^{r'} = (-\gamma_m + \gamma - \frac{4}{3})\frac{1}{\Omega_d^2}. \quad (84)$$

$\beta^2 < 1/3$, the universe could accelerate. And with certain constraint on the parameter β , we can get the expected unstable matter dominated phase and a stable dark energy dominated phase.

In the interacting case two, we can see that

$$f_2^r = -\frac{2}{3\beta^2} + \frac{1}{3\Omega_d} - \frac{1}{\Omega_d}(\gamma - 1) + \frac{\gamma_d}{(1 - \Omega_d)} = 0, \quad (85)$$

$$f_{d2}^{r'} = (\gamma - \frac{4}{3})\frac{1}{\Omega_d^2} + \frac{\gamma_d}{(1 - \Omega_d)^2}. \quad (86)$$

The existence of the critical point F_D needs $\gamma_d > 0$. Assuming $\gamma < 4/3$, when Ω_d is large, the absolute value of the second term in Eq.(86) will be larger than the first term, $f_{d2}^{r'} > 0$, and we get the stable dark energy dominated phase. Instead, if $1 - \Omega_d$ is large, $f_{d2}^{r'} < 0$, we will get the unstable matter dominated phase.

With the interaction term three,

$$f_3^r = -\frac{2}{3\beta^2} + \frac{1}{3\Omega_d} - \frac{1}{\Omega_d}(\gamma - 1) + \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\Omega_d(1 - \Omega_d)}, \quad (87)$$

Table 22: The properties of the critical points in Ricci dark energy model in interacting case three.

Label	(Ω_m, Ω_d)	(λ_1, λ_2)	existence	stability	ω_{tot}
F_M	$(\frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma-1}, 1 - \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma-1})$	$(0, -3f_{d3}^{r'}\Omega_m\Omega_d)$	$0 \leq \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma-1} \leq 1$	$f_{d3}^{r'} < 0$, unstable	0
F_D	$(\frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma}, 1 - \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma})$	$(0, -3f_{d3}^{r'}\Omega_m\Omega_d)$	$0 \leq \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\gamma} \leq 1$	$f_{d3}^{r'} > 0$, stable	-1

$$f_{d3}^{r'} = (\gamma - \gamma_{tot} - \frac{4}{3})\frac{1}{\Omega_d^2} + \frac{\gamma_{tot}}{\Omega_m^2}. \quad (88)$$

The existence of the critical point F_D needs $\gamma_{tot} > 0$. Assuming $\gamma - \gamma_{tot} < 4/3$, when Ω_d is large, the absolute value of the second term in Eq.(86) will be larger than the first term, $f_{d2}^{r'} > 0$, and we get the stable dark energy dominated phase. Instead, if $1 - \Omega_d$ is large, $f_{d2}^{r'} < 0$, we will get the unstable matter dominated phase.

In conclusion, in the Ricci dark energy model, we successfully get the desired matter dominated and dark energy dominated phases. The interactions extend the regime of the parameter and help to get some scaling solutions.

6.6 Discussions

However, in the above discussions, we conclude that the interactions may have three-fold action: to make the unstable dark energy dominated phase an attractor, to extend the regime of the parameter, and the last is to make out scaling solutions to alleviate the coincidence problem.

7 Conclusion

In the paper, we suggest that we could construct the models in which the equation of state is related to the fractional dark energy. Then, considering the evolution of the universe, we analyze the dynamical behavior in the holographic-like models. Since the universe experiences the matter dominated phase and the dark energy dominated phase, we focus on this two phases.

We have presented a phase-space analysis of the evolution for a spatially flat FRW universe containing dark energy and matter. Based on the established history of the universe,

we want to get an unstable matter dominated phase and a stable dark energy dominated phase. The unstable matter dominated phase is necessary. If the dark energy dominated phase is unstable, it may depend on the initial conditions that the universe first went through a matter dominated phase, and then a dark energy dominated phase. But if it is an attractor, we do not need to consider the initial conditions.

Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. Rong-gen Cai, Prof. Yun-Gui Gong and Prof. Zong-Hong Zhu for useful discussions. This work was supported by CQUPT under Grant No. A2009-16, the Ministry of Science and Technology of China national basic science Program (973 Project) under grant Nos. 2007CB 815401 and 2010CB833004, the National Natural Science Foundation of China key project under grant Nos. 10533010 and 10935013, and the Distinguished Young Scholar Grant 10825313, and the Natural Science Foundation Project of CQ CSTC under grant No. 2009BA4050.

References

- [1] A. G. Riess *et al.* [Supernova Search Team Collaboration], *Astrophys. J.* **607**, 665 (2004) [astro-ph/0402512];
R. A. Knop *et al.*, [Supernova Cosmology Project Collaboration], *Astrophys. J.* **598**, 102 (2003) [astro-ph/0309368];
A. G. Riess *et al.* [Supernova Search Team Collaboration], *Astron. J.* **116**, 1009 (1998) [astro-ph/9805201];
S. Perlmutter *et al.* [Supernova Cosmology Project Collaboration], *Astrophys. J.* **517**, 565 (1999) [astro-ph/9812133].
- [2] C. L. Bennett *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **148**, 1 (2003) [astro-ph/0302207];
D. N. Spergel *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **148** 175 (2003) [astro-ph/0302209].
- [3] M. Tegmark *et al.* [SDSS Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 103501 (2004) [astro-ph/0310723];
M. Tegmark *et al.* [SDSS Collaboration], *Astrophys. J.* **606**, 702 (2004) [astro-ph/0310725];
U. Seljak *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 103515 (2005) [astro-ph/0407372];
J. K. Adelman-McCarthy *et al.* [SDSS Collaboration], astro-ph/0507711;
K. Abazajian *et al.* [SDSS Collaboration], astro-ph/0410239; astro-ph/0403325; astro-ph/0305492.

- [4] C.L. Bennet et al., ApJS. 148, 1 (2003);
D.N. Spergel et al., ApJS, 148, 175 (2003);
D.N. Spergel et al., ApJS **170**, 377 (2007);
L. Page et al., ApJS **170**, 335 (2007);
G. Hinshaw et al., ApJS **170**, 263 (2007).
- [5] V. Sahni and A. A. Starobinsky, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **9** (2000) 373 [arXiv:astro-ph/9904398];
P. J. E. Peebles and B. Ratra, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75** (2003) 559 [arXiv:astro-ph/0207347];
V. Sahni, Lect. Notes Phys. **653**, 141 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0403324];
E. J. Copeland, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **15** (2006) 1753 [arXiv:hep-th/0603057];
J. Frieman, M. Turner and D. Huterer, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. **46**, 385 (2008) [arXiv:0803.0982 [astro-ph]].
- [6] R. R. Caldwell, R. Dave and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1582 (1998) [astro-ph/9708069];
C. Wetterich, Nucl. Phys. B **302**, 668 (1988);
P. J. E. Peebles and B. Ratra, Astrophys. J. **325**, L17 (1988).
- [7] P. J. Steinhardt, L. M. Wang and I. Zlatev, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 123504 (1999) [astro-ph/9812313];
I. Zlatev and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Lett. B **459**, 570 (1999) [astro-ph/9906481].
- [8] A.G. Cohen, D.B. Kaplan and A.E. Nelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 4971 (1999).
- [9] S.D.H. Hsu, Phys. Lett. B **594** 13 (2004).
- [10] M. Li, Phys. Lett. B **603** 1 (2004).
- [11] R.G. Cai, Phys. Lett. B **657**, 228 (2007);
Y. Zhang, H. Li, X. Wu, H. Wei and R. G. Cai, arXiv:0708.1214 [astro-ph];
X. Wu, Y. Zhang, H. Li, R. G. Cai and Z. H. Zhu, arXiv:0708.0349 [astro-ph].
- [12] Y. Gong and T. Li, arXiv:0907.0860 [hep-th].
- [13] C. Gao, X. Chen and Y. G. Shen, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 043511 (2009) [arXiv:0712.1394 [astro-ph]];
R. G. Cai, B. Hu and Y. Zhang, Commun. Theor. Phys. **51**, 954 (2009) [arXiv:0812.4504 [hep-th]].
- [14] A. A. Coley, gr-qc/9910074;
J. Wainwright and G. F. R. Ellis, *Dynamical Systems in Cosmology*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997;
A. A. Coley, *Dynamical Systems and Cosmology*, in Series: Astrophysics and Space Science Library, Vol. 291, Springer, 2004.
- [15] E. J. Copeland, A. R. Liddle and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 4686 (1998) [gr-qc/9711068].
- [16] L. Amendola, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 043501 (1999) [astro-ph/9904120];
L. Amendola, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 043511 (2000) [astro-ph/9908023];
L. Amendola and C. Quercellini, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 023514 (2003) [astro-ph/0303228];
L. Amendola and D. Tocchini-Valentini, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 043509 (2001)

- [astro-ph/0011243];
L. Amendola and D. Tocchini-Valentini, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 043528 (2002)
[astro-ph/0111535];
L. Amendola, C. Quercellini, D. Tocchini-Valentini and A. Pasqui, Astrophys. J. **583**, L53 (2003) [astro-ph/0205097].
- [17] Z. K. Guo, R. G. Cai and Y. Z. Zhang, JCAP **0505**, 002 (2005) [astro-ph/0412624];
Z. K. Guo and Y. Z. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 023501 (2005) [astro-ph/0411524].
- [18] T. Damour and A. M. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. B **423**, 532 (1994) [hep-th/9401069];
T. Damour and A. M. Polyakov, Gen. Rel. Grav. **26**, 1171 (1994) [gr-qc/9411069];
C. Wetterich, Astron. Astrophys. **301**, 321 (1995) [hep-th/9408025];
J. R. Ellis, S. Kalara, K. A. Olive and C. Wetterich, Phys. Lett. B **228**, 264 (1989);
G. Huey, P. J. Steinhardt, B. A. Ovrut and D. Waldram, Phys. Lett. B **476**, 379 (2000) [hep-th/0001112];
C. T. Hill and G. G. Ross, Nucl. Phys. B **311**, 253 (1988);
G. W. Anderson and S. M. Carroll, astro-ph/9711288;
B. Gumjudpai, T. Naskar, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, JCAP **0506**, 007 (2005) [hep-th/0502191].
- [19] R. G. Cai and A. Wang, JCAP **0503**, 002 (2005) [hep-th/0411025];
E. Majerotto, D. Sapone and L. Amendola, astro-ph/0410543.
H. Wei and R. G. Cai, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 043504 (2005) [hep-th/0412045].
H. Wei and R. G. Cai, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 083002 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0603052].
H. Wei and S. N. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 063005 (2007) [arXiv:0705.4002 [gr-qc]].
- [20] W. Zimdahl and D. Pavon, Phys. Lett. B **521**, 133 (2001) [astro-ph/0105479];
L. P. Chimento, A. S. Jakubi, D. Pavon and W. Zimdahl, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 083513 (2003) [astro-ph/0303145];
Luis P. Chimento, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 043525 (2010).
- [21] H. Wei and R.G. Cai, Phys. Lett. B **655**, 1 (2007);
H. Wei and R.G. Cai, Phys. Lett. B **663**, 1 (2008);
I.P. Neupane, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 123006 (2007);
M. Maziashvili, Phys. Lett. B **666**, 364 (2008); J. Zhang, X. Zhang and H. Liu, Eur. Phys. J. C **54**, 303 (2008);
J.P. Wu, D.Z. Ma and Y. Ling, Phys. Lett. B **663**, 152 (2008);
H. Wei and R.G. Cai, Eur. Phys. J. C **59**, 99 (2009);
J. Cui, L. Zhang, J. Zhang and X. Zhang, arXiv:0902.0716.
- [22] Q.G. Huang and M. Li, JCAP **0408**, 013 (2004);
Q.G. Huang and M. Li, JCAP **0503**, 001 (2005);
Q.G. Huang and Y.G. Gong, JCAP **0408**, 006 (2004);
Y.G. Gong, B. Wang and Y.Z. Zhang Phys. Rev. D **72**, 043510 (2005);
B. Wang, Y.G. Gong and E. Abdalla, Phys. Lett. B **624**, 141 (2005);
X. Zhang and F.Q. Wu, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 043524 (2005);
X. Zhang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **14**, 1597 (2005);
Z. Chang, F.Q. Wu and X. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B **633**, 14 (2006);
B. Wang, E. Abdalla and R.K. Su, Phys. Lett. B **611**, 21 (2005);
B. Wang, C.Y. Lin and E. Abdalla, Phys. Lett. B **637**, 357 (2006);
X. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B **648**, 1 (2007);
M.R. Setare, J. Zhang and X. Zhang, JCAP **0703**, 007 (2007);

- J. Zhang, X. Zhang and H. Liu, Phys. Lett. B **659**, 26 (2008);
 B. Chen, M. Li and Y. Wang, Nucl. Phys. B **774**, 256 (2007);
 J. Zhang, X. Zhang and H.Y. Liu, Eur. Phys. J. C **52**, 693 (2007);
 X. Zhang and F.Q. Wu, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 023502 (2007);
 C.J. Feng, Phys. Lett. B **633**, 367 (2008);
 Y.Z. Ma, Y. Gong and X.L. Chen, Eur. Phys. J. C **60**, 303 (2009);
 Y.Z. Ma, Y. Gong and X.L. Chen, arXiv:0901.1215;
 M. Li, C. Lin and Y. Wang, JCAP **0805**, 023 (2008);
 M. Li, X.D. Li, C. Lin and Y. Wang, Commun. Theor. Phys. **51**, 181 (2009).
 M. Li, X. D. Li, S. Wang and X. Zhang, arXiv:0904.0928 [astro-ph.CO];
 E. N. Saridakis, Phys. Lett. B **660**, 138 (2008) [arXiv:0712.2228 [hep-th]].
- [23] C.J. Feng, Phys. Lett. B **670**, 231 (2008);
 C.J. Feng, Phys. Lett. B **672**, 94 (2009);
 L.N. Granda and A. Oliveros, Phys. Lett. B **669**, 275 (2008);
 C.J. Feng, arXiv:0812.2067;
 K.Y. Kim, H.W. Lee and Y.S. Myung, arXiv:0812.4098;
 X. Zhang, arXiv:0901.2262;
 C. J. Feng and X. Zhang, arXiv:0904.0045.